### NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Socorro F.O.

Applicant		Farm No	Tract No	CMS Field No's	Date
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Land_			Preliminary	Final
	4 184 4	50		:	4 15

#### 1. Water Quantity - 50 -- Potential Points (29% of Total)

	fficiency - Use FIRS to eva ficiency times any multipli	Potential	Benchmark	After	
%	% of Area in Contract	% of Area in Contract	Points	Points	Points
Efficiency	before Treatment	After Treatment			
			50		
			30		
		1. Water Quantity	Total		

#### 2. Water Quality - 35 -- Potential Points (21% of Total)

#### A. Surface Water Pollutants -20 -- Maximum Points

There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or reentering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of the field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0.

	Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water	Potential	Benchmark	After
	Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live water		Points	Points
<100 Feet		20	0	
101 - 500 Ft.		15	0	
501 - 1,320 Ft.		10	0	
1,321 - 2,640 Ft.		5	0	
>2,640 Feet		0	0	
	A. Surface Water	Total	0	

### B. Ground Water Pollutants - 15 -- Maximum Points

There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or other nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and/or direct flow into wells. Points will be awarded based on depth to the water table or elimination of any direct discharge to ground water (regardless of depth to the water table).

Depth to Water Table	Potentia	I Benchmark	After	
Deptit to water Table	Points	Points Points F		
1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water.	15	0		
10 - 50 Ft.	10	0		
50 -100 Ft.	5	0		
>100 Ft.	0	0		
B. Ground Wa	ater			
2. Water Qua	litv Total	0		

# NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Socorro F.O.

# 3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) -- 60 -- Potential Points (35% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation schedule of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Water Quality: Excessive Nutrients and Organics and/or Pesticides in Ground Water or Surface Water			
Drip (Trickle) system and/or components (441)	8		
Concrete Ditch (428A) or Irrigation Pipeline (430EE)	8		
Land Leveling (464)	5		
Earthen Field Ditch (388)	3		
Structure for Water Control (587)	2		
Water Quantity: In-efficient Water use on irrigated land			
Drip (Trickle) system and/or components (441)	10		
Concrete Ditch (428A) or Irrigation Pipeline (430EE)	8		
Land Leveling (464)	5		
Earthen Field Ditch (388)	3		
Structure for Water Control (587)	2		
Plants: Productivity, Health and Vigor			
Land leveling (464) or Conc. Ditch (428A), or Irr. Pipe (430EE) or Irr.Drip Trickle system(441)	3		
Domestic Animals: Inadequate Stock Water			
Livestock water sys. Practices (614-516)	2		
Fish and Wildlife: Inadequate Cover/shelter/water			
Tree and Shrub establishment (612) or Pond (378), or Wetland Restoration (657)	1		
3. Selected Conservation Practices	Total		

# 4. Other Considerations -- 25 -- Potential Points (15% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend	Potential	Benchmark	After
based on LWG advice, please include it as item E.	Points	Points	Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/planned section 319 pro	5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Catergory I watershed.	5	0	
E. Class A and/or B noxious weeds exist in the contract area and will be controlled.	5	0	
4. Other Considerations	Total	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Sec 1	Sec 2	Sec 3	Sec 4	Worksheet Total
Designated Conservationist	 Date			

NM FOID EV	2005 Panking	<b>Criteria Worksheet</b>	- Irrigated	Cropland - Sc	ocorro E O
NIVI EQIP FT	zuus Kankina	Criteria worksneet	- irridated	Grobiana - Sc	ocorro F.O.

Revised Nov. 2003